

Réponse spatiale de contaminants organiques et inorganiques d'origine anthropique à l'échelle de l'étang de la Beulie, Egoutier, France

> PhD director: Lydie LE FORESTIER Co-Supervisor : Anaëlle SIMONNEAU





 One of the Water Framework Directive initial objectives -> reach waterbodies' good ecological state before 2015 -> due date postponed to 2027



~80% occur in the particulate phase, including suspended particulate matters -> considered themselves as contaminants

(Oelkers et al., 2011 and included references, modified by Ledieu, 2020)



- Inorganic ones -> trace metals mostly, also studied in the particulate phase (Demlie et al. 2006; Farag et al. 2007)
- Organic ones -> particulate phase not always targetted (Bound et al. 2006; Meyer et al. 2006)



- Mostly anthropogenic releases
- Wastewater Treatment Plants : not only trace metals, also organic molecules







- Inorganic ones -> trace metals mostly, also studied in the particulate phase (Demlie et al. 2006; Farag et al. 2007)
- Organic ones -> particulate phase not always targetted (Bound et al. 2006; Meyer et al. 2006)



- Mostly anthropogenic releases
- Wastewater Treatment Plants : not only trace metals, also organic molecules

Context of the study



What we already know:

-> Organic and inorganic contaminants are released in hydrosystems at sometimes high levels of concentration, and are, for lot of them, also quantified in the particulate phase

• What we are looking for:

-> Which compartments are the most likely to adsorb those substancies ? What are the involved mechanisms ? -> Are those processes reversible ?

-> What is the fate of these contaminants at catchment scales ?



Context of the study



What we already know:

-> Organic and inorganic contaminants are released in hydrosystems at sometimes high levels of concentration, and are, for lot of them, also quantified in the particulate phase



What would be the perfect candidate?



- 1 watershed subjected to <u>anthropogenic releases</u>
- Anthropogenic contaminants transfers' studies imply also a focus on <u>small hydrosystems</u> -> more sensitive/ vulnerable (Boulard et al. 2022)
- 1 <u>retention area</u> -> favours particulate fluxes storage (accumulation), and consequently adsorbed contaminants



- Release of inorganic and organic contaminants through <u>wastewater</u> <u>treatment plants</u> (WWTP) (red arrows) or <u>other</u> <u>anthropogenic sources</u> (blue arrows)
- Focus not only made on streams reaching outlets, but also on mass collected in <u>retention areas</u>, within hydrosystems





Instrumented Egoutier Watershed (Loiret, France)







Context of the study







Pharmaceuticals: Psychiatric hospital and Army General Pharmacy WWTP (Ledieu 2020, Ledieu et al. 2021)



- Beulie pond -> rupture of the sedimentary ٠ cascade
- Accumulation area -> one of the ٠ contamination hotspots (Ledieu et al. 2021)



 Accumulation area -> one of the contaminantion hotspots (Ledieu et al. 2021)



PARTICULATE

AQUEOUS



The Egoutier watershed is subjected, as well in the aqueous as in the particulate phases, to pharmaceutical molecules contents similar to those registered in other suburban catchments



- How can we explain this spatial response ?
 - Pond's dynamics ?
 - ✤ Matrix effect ?

Context of the

study

Objectives

 Does the spatial distribution of the particulate phase (including potential carring matrices) explain <u>those of</u> <u>anthropogenic contaminants</u>?



<u>Geographic information System</u>





part of the pond, and in shallower areas

Sedimentary dynamics of interface sediment samples within the Beulie pond (Bernier-Turpin 2022)



Unsorted granulometry

Sorted granulometry

BE21-1 BE21-2 BE21-3 BE21-4

BE21-5 BE21-6 BE21-7 BE21-8

BE21-9 BE21-10

BE21-12

BE21-14 BE21-15





Beulie Total Organic Carbon distribution (%)







Beulie Fine Particles (< 63µm) distribution (%)



14





And now what about the spatial response of inorganic and organic contaminants ?



Beulie Zinc distribution (µg/g)

- 0 81 91
- 91 117
- 0 117 123
- 123 127

Beulie Copper distribution (µg/g)

757 - 850
 850 - 1043
 1043 - 1086
 1086 - 1191

- The highest contents in Cu and Zn are concentrated mainly in the upstream part of the pond
- Without evident spatial distribution
- Both might be carried by several phases, in different proportions

Context of the objectives Anal appr

Analytical approach

Results

Pharmaceuticals



Beulie Total Neutral Pharmaceutical molecules distribution (ng/g)

90 - 132
132 - 189
189 - 332
332 - 810



Beulie Total Cationic Pharmaceutical molecules distribution (ng/g)



Areas with the highest contents are also the deeper

٠

 <u>Existing affinities between</u> <u>those molecules and</u> <u>reactive phases have to be</u> <u>identified</u>



- Heterogeneous spatial distribution of anthropogenic contaminants at the pond scale
 - The spatial distribution of the matrices (TOC, Fine particles) doesn't clearly explain those of anthropogenic contaminants
 - 15 samples here -> more have been collected and can be characterized as well
- Both carrying phases, molecules/trace metals, and medium properties have
 to be taken into acount in adsorption mechanisms



Context of the
studyObjectivesAnalytical
approachResultsConclusions and
Perspectives

Comprehension of spatial distribution is a real concern...



Concentrations measured in the particulate phase, 2021

Bernier-Turpin, 2022



Beulie pond -> stock, but contaminants are crossing the pond's barrier...



Sediment/Colloids/Water Continuum

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

<u>Thanks to</u> Gauthier Bernier-Turpin, Thomas Thiebault, Gildas Ratié, Nicolas Freslon, Claude Le Milbeau, Rachel Boscardin and Marielle Hatton for their help and implication in this work

ANY QUESTIONS ?